

So, your marking rubric may be developed as below. The standards still follow the cut off points of the JCU Grading system (Learning, Teaching and Assessment Policy Item 5.22.1):

	<i>(Upper-range D to HD; 80-100%)</i>	<i>(C to mid-range D; 65-79%)</i>	<i>(P; 50-64%)</i>	<i>(N; 0-49%)</i>
		<i>Standard that lies between these</i>		<i>Unacceptable standard</i>

Alternatively, the overall grade for the assessment task may be arrived at by way of generating a formula (e.g. : HD on 3 criteria; no less than D on other criterion; : D on 3 criteria; no less than a C on other criterion, etc.).

To ensure that assessment judgements are defensible, consist and transparent, it is essential that criteria and standards are used in conjunction with and . Formative activities such as , , are additional ways of using criteria and standards for the enhancement of student learning.

My rubric has:

• A manageable number of concisely stated criteria, aligned with SLOs?	Yes
• The number of standards required for the task, aligned with the JCU grading system?	Yes
• Standards that are reasonably pitched, framed positively and, where possible, focus on demonstrable qualities?	Yes

Sample Task Rubric

	(Upper D to HD)	(C to mid D)	(P)	
--	-----------------	--------------	-----	--

Sample Course Learning Outcome Rubric

	Identifies and explains key issues in a routine IT related situation.	Identifies and explains key issues in a routine IT related situation, drawing upon	
--	---	--	--